UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,610	12/17/2003	Takehisa Mori	018961-066	4081
21839 759 BUCHANAN IN	90 04/10/200 NGERSOLL & ROO!	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			DEAK, LESLIE R	
			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	гнѕ	04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/736,610	MORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie R. Deak	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		ONTHAN OF THEFTY (CO) PAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	05 September 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-12 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/736,610

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,074,180to Khanwilkar et al.

In the specification and figures, Khanwilkar discloses the apparatus as claimed by applicant. With regard to claims 1, 2, Khanwilkar discloses a centrifugal pump apparatus 10 that may be used to pump blood comprising a housing 12/14 with inlet 19/20 and outlet 15/16. The housing contains an impeller 1 contained within the housing in a pump space 27 a/b/c/d. Rotation of impeller 21 centrifugally transports fluid from the inlet to the outlet (see columns 10-11). The pump 10 comprises a motor 40 that corresponds to applicant's torque generation section, since the motor powers magnets within the motor 40 to rotate impeller 21 (see FIGS 3, 8). The pump comprises a return chamber or hydronamic pressure groove 34 through which blood flows on the motor or torque generation side 40.

The impeller 21 is suspended within the pump housing (see column 12, lines 30-55) by action of permanent magnets 52, 54, 56, 57, 58, and 59—configured in a double ring configuration—that interact with electromagnets 44, 46, 48, and 50. The permanent magnets and electromagnets work together to suspend the impeller 21 within the pump

Application/Control Number: 10/736,610

Art Unit: 3761

housing by attracting the impeller in opposite directions according to a control mechanism (see column 12, line 39 to column 13, line 53). The controller provides electric signals that provide position, velocity, and rotation signals to the impeller, functioning as claimed by applicant. Applicant's limitations with regard to the action of the electromagnets, permanent magnets, and controller are held by the examiner to be a functional recitation of the performance of the claimed device. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. See MPEP 2114. In the instant case, it is the position of the examiner that the pump apparatus disclosed by Khanwilkar discloses all the structural limitations claimed by applicant, and the controller disclosed by Khanwilkar is capable of operating to suspend the impeller in the housing as claimed by applicant, thereby meeting the limitations of the claims.

With regard to claim 3, Khanwilkar discloses that the permanent magnets are arranged in two sets in a double-ring configuration, meeting the limitations of applicant's claim (see column 12, line 54 to column 13, line 20).

With regard to claim 4, Khanwilkar discloses that the motor or torque generation section 40 comprises stator coils that actuate the permanent magnets in the impeller, meeting the limitations of the claim (see column 13, line 58 to column 14, line 2).

With regard to claims 5, 6, 8, and 9, applicant's recitations with regard to the action of the controller are held by the examiner to be a functional recitation of the performance of the claimed device. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the

Art Unit: 3761

manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. See MPEP 2114. In the instant case, it is the position of the examiner that the pump apparatus disclosed by Khanwilkar discloses all the structural limitations claimed by applicant, and the controller disclosed by Khanwilkar is capable of operating to suspend and rotate the impeller in the housing as claimed by applicant, thereby meeting the limitations of the claims (see column 13, lines 43-53).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,074,180 to Khanwilkar et al.

In the specification and figures, Khanwilkar discloses the apparatus substantially as claimed by applicant with the exception of the radius of the hydrodynamic pressure groove. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the housing and the impeller disclosed by Khanwilkar to allow for a hydrodynamic pressure groove of the claimed dimensions, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Application/Control Number: 10/736,610

Art Unit: 3761

discovering the optimum or workable ranges (such as "not less than 0.05mm") involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP § 2144.05.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's amendment and arguments, filed 5 September 2006 with respect to the rejections of the pending claims over US 6,155,969 to Schima have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US 6,074,180 to Khanwilkar et al. Accordingly, Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/736,610 Page 6

Art Unit: 3761

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 571-272-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57/1-272-1000.

Leslie R. Deak Patent Examiner Art Unit 3761 3 April 2007

> TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER